

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/586,960	07/25/2006	Hideharu Hasegawa	427-108	6531	
23117 NIXON & VA	7590 05/01/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAM	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR			ZIMMER, ANTHONY J		
ARLINGTON.	, VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1793		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/01/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/586,960 HASEGAWA ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit

		ANTHONY J. ZIMMER	1793				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING D. HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING D. HEVER IS LONGER THE PROVISION of 37 CFR 1.1 SK (6) MORTHS from the maining date of the communication. For its private prior for pript is appointed from the maximum statutory period ver to reply within the set or estended period for reply will, by shattler to reply within the set or estended period for reply will, by shattler and a detent term dealiness. Set 32 CFR 1.74(bb).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin viil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status							
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Je This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro-		e merits is			
Dienociti	ion of Claims						
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 2.4 and 5 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 2.4 and 5 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed onis/are: a)acc. Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the lidrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau. See the attached detailed Office action for a list-	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)						
🔽	4 D 4	n □	(DTO 110)				

Attachment(s)		
1) 🖂 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) 🔀 Information-Disclosure Statembn4(#) (PTO-62#CP) Paper No(s)Mail Date 1/23/2009	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application. 6) Other:	
S. Patent and Trademark Office		

Art Unit: 1793

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 4, and 5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over JP'520.

In regard to claims 5 and 4, JP'520 teaches treating an exhaust gas containing silane (a silicon hydride) with granulated (particulate) calcium oxide/calcium hydroxide gas treatment agent. Though it is not stated in JP'520 that the agent has a porous structure, a surface area of greater than 1 m²/g, or a void fraction of 10-50% by volume, its preparation method includes a calcination step which provides the required porous structure and void fraction and produces a surface area in the broad range of the claim. See Examples 1-3 and the last paragraph on page 4 of the English translation of JP'520. Also, the instant specification describes baking (calcining) calcium hydroxide as a method to prepare the instant catalyst and thus since JP'520 teaches such a baking step, the porous structure would be the same. See the last paragraph on instant page 9

Art Unit: 1793

continued on page 10. See also MPEP 2112.01. Also, evidentiary document JP'580 (the machine translation thereof) shows that heating calcium hydroxide at 390-480°C (which is very close to the 500°C temperature used in JP'520) for more than 5 minutes produces a product with a surface area greater than 5 m²/g. See [0012]. See also MPEP 2131.01.

In regard to claim 2, Application Example 3 teaches a moisture content of 5 wt.

%. When moisture contacts CaO, it reacts spontaneously (and exothermically) to form

Ca(OH)₂ according to the following equation:

[On a 100 gram reaction basis:

5 g water = 0.28 moles water;

(1 mole Ca(OH)₂/1 mole water) x 0.28 moles water = 0.28 moles Ca(OH)₂;

0.28 moles Ca(OH)₂ x 74 grams/mole Ca(OH)₂ = ~ 21 g;

 $(21 \text{ g}/100 \text{ g}) \times 100\% = ~21 \text{ wt. }\%]$

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1/23/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that JP'520 does not teach a surface area of greater than 1 $\text{m}^2\text{/g}$.

However the preparation method of JP'520 includes a calcination step which provides the required porous structure and a surface area in the broad range instantly claimed. See Examples 1-3 and the last paragraph on page 4 of the English translation of JP'520. Also, the instant specification describes baking (calcining) calcium hydroxide as a method to prepare the instant catalyst and thus since JP'520 teaches such a baking step, the porous structure would be the same. See the last paragraph on instant page 9 continued on page 10. See also MPEP 2112.01. Burden is on applicant to show an unobvious difference. See MPEP 2112. Also, evidentiary document JP'580 (the machine translation thereof) shows that heating calcium hydroxide at 390-480°C (which is very close to the 500°C temperature used in JP'520) for more than 5 minutes produces a product with a surface area greater than 5 m²/g. See [0012] of the provided machine translation. See also MPEP 2131.01.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Beruto et al. teaches the production of CaO/Ca(OH)₂ particulates.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

Art Unit: 1793

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANTHONY J. ZIMMER whose telephone number is (571)270-3591. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30 AM - 5:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

aiz

/Steven Bos/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793

Page 6

Art Unit: 1793